CAS 中国科学院软件研究所学术年会'2023 暨计算机科学国家重点实验室开放周



※ 学术论文

崔爽,王炳楠,郑权*

联系人: 崔爽 邮箱: cuishuang21@mails.ucas.ac.cn

Introduction

- > Optical aberrations are defects introduced in the design, manufacturing and assembly of camera lenses. The defects cause the incident light to diffuse and fail to focus to form a sharp image, producing images with blurry and dispersive appearance.
- > Aberration correction by sophisticated lens designs and special glass materials generally incurs high cost of manufacturing and the increase in the weight of optical systems, thus recent work has shifted to aberration correction with deep learning-based post-processing.
- > Previous methods use a single feed-forward neural network and suffer from information loss. To address the issues, we propose a novel aberration correction method with an invertible architecture by leveraging its information-lossless property.



- > We design an imaging simulation process based on ray tracing and spatial convolution to generate large-scale paired datasets with variable degradation degrees.
- > We propose an invertible neural network architecture for optical aberration correction that can largely alleviate the information loss problem and improve image quality.
- \succ We introduce conditional encoding modules for the invertible neural network to deal with varying degrees of optical aberrations.
- > The reverse loss makes learning process more stable and increases the robustness of neural networks.

Experiments



Table 1. Comparisons of the evaluation metrics on the synthetic dataset and the number of parameters.^a

Method	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS \downarrow	Params#
Input	21.0932	0.7246	0.4427	
DeblurGANv2 [30]	17.5685	0.5712	0.4015	3.31 <i>M</i>
FOV-KPN [12]	20.6122	0.7230	0.4047	4.01 <i>M</i>
MIMO-UNet [31]	24.9595	0.8493	0.1956	6.81 <i>M</i>
MPRNet [32]	22.3145	0.7639	0.3393	20.13 <i>M</i>
Stripformer [33]	23.6378	0.8104	0.2324	19.71 <i>M</i>
Ours	25.3004	0.8432	0.2069	10.16 <i>M</i>

Table 2. Quantitative results of ablation studies in terms of PSNR, SSIM and LPIPS on test dataset.^a

FEM	CC	IN	FOV	PSNR ↑	SSIM ↑	LPIPS↓
				23.79	0.7989	0.3107
\checkmark				23.85	0.8042	0.2751
\checkmark				23.21	0.7963	0.2628
\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	24.22	0.8170	0.2530
				25.30	0.8432	0.2069

^aThe feature extraction module (FEM), the conditional code (CC), the invertible module (IM) and the FOV encoder (FOV).

Quantitative and qualitative experimental results demonstrate that our method outperforms compared methods in correcting variable-degree optical aberrations.